





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,641	08/14/2000	Kevin Woehr	39352/NEC/K 163	9834
	7590 09/18/2002			
,	PARKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	DLORADO BOULEVARD		SIRMONS, KEVIN C	
PASADENA,	CA 91105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	#7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S.M.		
· •		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/638,641	WOEHR ET AL.		
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kevin C. Sirmons	3763		
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing it patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	<u>une 2002</u> .			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-59$ is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e on Papers	election requirement.			
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	г.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
·	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment(	s)				
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)		

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/638,641

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I	Figs. 1A-1D	Species II	Figs. 2A+B
Species III	Figs. 3A+B	Species IV	Figs. 4A+B
Species V	Figs. 5A+B	Species VI	Figs. 6A+B
Species VII	Figs. 7A-E, 8 & 9	Species VIII	Figs. 10A+B, 11A+B
Species IX	Figs. 12, 13A+B	Species X	Figs. 14-19
Species XI	Figs. 20		

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/638,641

Art Unit: 3763

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to William P. Christie on 9/10/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

9/10/02

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700